1 Joseph Johnson, Jr.

#000580-1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

P.O. Box 5003

Coalinga, CA 93210

Appearing In Pro Se



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CLAIFORNIA

Ph

JOSEPH JOHNSON, JR.,

Plaintiff

Vs.

STEPHEN W. MAYBERG, Ph.D Director

Of The California Mental Health Department;

SYLVIA BLOUNT, Chief Of The Sex Offender

Commitment Program; and JAMES S. CAHAN,

Deputy District Attorney Of Santa Clara County.

Defendants

$\text{CV} \ \underset{\text{Case}}{\mathbf{0}} \ \mathbf{8}$

se No.:

CIVIL PATIENT'S (NON

PRISONER) MOTION/

REQUEST/ APPLICATION TO

PROCEED IN FORMA

PAUPERIS

FACTS OF COMPLAINT

Since May 25, 2000, Plaintiff Joseph Johnson, Jr., has been civilly committed to Atascadero State Hospital, now at Coalinga State Hospital("Hospital") for care and treatment under California's Sexually Violent Predators Act. Plaintiff's civil commitment began after he completed his prison term.

PRISONER APPLICATION TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS IS NOT APPLICABLE TO PLAINTIFF

Plaintiff asserts that as a civil detainee, he is *not* subject to the PLRA's requirement that

 prisoner's seeking to file civil actions "to provide a certified copy of prisoner trust fund account statement for the last six months."

Enacted in 1996, the PLRA imposes specific filing requirements on prisoners seeking to file civil actions in forma pauperis, see 28 U.S.C. s 1915, and prisoners seeking to file civil actions regarding prison conditions, see 42 U.S.C. s 1997e. These include the requirements that prisoner-plaintiffs seeking to proceed in forma pauperis submit a certified copy of their prisoner trust fund account statement for the previous six months, see 28 U.S.C. s 1915 (a)(2), that prisoner-plaintiffs proceeding in forma pauperis pay the full amount of the filing fee, see 28 U.S.C. s 1915(b), But it is clear from the express language of these provisions that these requirements apply only to "prisoners." See, e.g., 28 U.S.C. s 1915(a)(2) ("A prisoner seeking to bring civil action ..." (emphasis added)); 42 U.S.C. s 1997e(a) ("No action shall be brought ... by a prisoner ..." (emphasis added)). Thus, the denial of Plaintiff's application turn initially on whether Plaintiff, who is civilly committed pursuant to California's Sexually Violent Predators Act, is a prisoner within the meaning of 28 U.S.C. s 1915 . Plaintiff is not a "prisoner" within the meaning of the PLRA.

The definition of "prisoner" for the purposes of these provisions of the PLRA is explicit: As used in this section [28 U.S.C. s 1915], the term "prisoner" means any person incarcerated or detained in any facility who is accused of, convicted of, sentenced for or adjudicated delinquent for, violations of criminal law or the terms and conditions of parole, probation, pretrial release, or diversionary program.

28 U.S.C. s 1915(h); see also 42 U.S.C. s 1997e(h) (identical language). Read broadly, this language could arguably be interpreted to in clued individuals-such as Plaintiff who is currently detained and who have in the past been accused of, convicted of, or sentence for a criminal offense.

But the natural reading of the text is that, to fall within the definition of "prisoner," the individual in question must be currently detained as a result of accusation, conviction, or sentence for a criminal offense. See West v. Macht, 986 F.Supp. 1143 (W.D.Wis.1997)

("Although Plaintiff has been convicted of a criminal violation, his current detention is not part

of the punishment for that crime but is instead a civil commitment"); see also LaFontant v. INS, 135 F.3d 158, 165 (D.C.Cir.1998) (holding that INS detainee is not a prisoner under the PLRA); Ojo v. INS,106 F.3d 680,682 (5th Cir.1997) (same); cf. Greig v. Goord, 169 F.3d 165, 167 (2nd Cir.1999) (holding that a former prisoner was not required to comply with the PLRA); Doe v. Washington County, 150 F.3d 920, 924 (8th Cir.1998) (same); Kerr V. Puckett, 138 F.3d 321, 323 (7th Cir.1998) (same). As this plain language reading of the text produces a plausible result, we need not look further. See Flores-Arellano v. INS, 5 F.3d 360,362 (9th Cir. 1993) (holding that where the plain language reading does not lead to absurd results to internal statutory inconsistencies, this reading controls). Therefore, it held in Page v. Torrey, 201 F.3d 1136 (C.A. 9th. 2000) that only individuals who, at the time they seek to file their civil actions, are detained as a result of being accused of convicted of, or sentence for criminal offenses are "prisoners" within the definition of 42 U.S.C. s 1997e and 28 U.S.C. s 1915.

California's Sexually Violent Predators Act provides not for criminal sanctions, but for civil commitment of an individual who has been: convicted of a sexually violent offense against two or more victims for which he or she received a determinate sentence and who has a diagnosed mental disorder that makes the person a danger to the health and safety of others in that it is likely that he or she will engage in sexually violent criminal behavior.

Cal. Welf. & Inst. Code s 6600(a); see also Hubbart v. Superior Court of Santa Clara County, 19 Cal. 4th 1138, 1179, 81 Cal. Rptr.2d 492, 969 P.2d 584 (1999) (finding that California's Sexually Violent Predators Act provides not for punishment but for civil commitment).

Thus, Plaintiff like Page although were "prisoners" within the meaning of the PLRA when they served time for their conviction, Plaintiff like Page ceased being a "prisoner" when he was released from the custody of the Department of Corrections. See Cal. Welf. & Inst. Code s 6601; see also LaFontant, 135 F.3d at 165 ("Although LaFontant was a 'prisoner' for the purposes of the PLRA when he served time for past convictions, he ceased being a 'prisoner' at the time he was released on parole."). Plaintiff's current detention is not part of the punishment

for his criminal conviction but rather a civil commitment for non-punitive purpose. See Cal. Welf. & Inst. Code s 6602.5; s 6604; Hubbart, 19 Cal.4th at 1171-79, 81 Cal. Rptr.2d 492, 969 P.2d 584 (holding that California's Sexually Violent Predators Act established civil commitment proceedings and that petitioner had not demonstrated that the Act imposed punishment).

Therefore, the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals agreed with Page and the State of California that Page like Plaintiff is not a "prisoner" within the meaning of the PLRA. Accord West, 986 F.Supp. at 1143 (holding that person confined under Wisconsin's sexual predator law was not a prisoner under PLRA).

Accordingly, the Court also held that neither the PLRA's requirement that prisonerplaintiffs seeking to proceed in forma pauperis must provide copies of prisoner trust fund account statements,

Plaintiff/Patient has taken the liberty to fill out and enclose an Non Prisoner Application
To Proceed In Forma Puaperis, and exercising his right in not providing copies of his trust fund account statements pursuant and within the meaning of the Prison Litigation Reform Act. Page
V. Torrey, 201 F.3d 1136,1140 (9th Cir. 2000).

Plaintiff submit this application to proceed in forma pauperis on the appropriate form pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915. Accordingly, (See attached).

Date: February 22, 2008

Joseph Johnson, Jr.

PLAINTIFF/PATIENT/APPLICANT/PRO SE.

Document 2

Filed 02/27/2008

Page 5 of 9

Case 3:08-cv-01177-SI

28

1	If your answer is "yes" state both your gross and net salary or wages per month, and give the
2	name and address of your employer:
3	Gross: None Net: None
4	
5	Employer: None
6	If the answer is "no," state the date of last employment and the amount of the gross and net
7	salary and wages per month which you received. (If you are in Imprisoned, specify the last place
8	of employment prior to imprisonment.)
9	The last time I was employed was in 1981-82, as a butcher(meat-cutter) for the Butchers
10	Union of San Jose/Santa Clara County. My wages were approximately \$ 170-\$200 dollars a day.
11	2. Have you received, within the past twelve(12) months, any money from any of the
12	following sources:
13	a. Business, Profession or Yes No <u>x</u>
14	self employment
15	b. Income from stocks, bonds, Yes Nox
16	or royalties?
17	c. Rent payment? Yes No_x_
18	d. Pensions, annuities, or Yes No x
19	life insurance payments/
20	e. Federal or State welfare payments, Yes No x
21	Social Security or other government
22	source?
23	If the answer is "yes" to any of the above, describe each source of money and state the amount
24	received from each. None.
25	3. Are you married? Yes No x
26	Spouse's Full Name: None
27	Spouse's Place of Employment: None
28	Spouse's Monthly Salary, Wages or Income: None

Case 3:08-cv-01177-SI Document 2 Filed 02/27/2008 Page 7 of 9

1	Gross \$ None Net: None
2	4. a. List amount you contribute to your spouse's support:\$ None
3	b. List the persons other than your spouse who are dependent upon you for
4	support and indicate how much you contribute toward their support.
5	(NOTE: For minor children, list only their initials and age. DO NOT
6	INCLUDE THEIR NAMES.) <u>NONE</u>
7	5. Do you own or are you buying a home? Yes No _x
8	Estimated Market Value: <u>None</u> Amount of Mortgage: <u>None</u>
9	6. Do you own an automobile? Yes No_x_
10	Make: None Year: None Model: None.
11	Is it financed? Yes No_x_ If so, Total due: \$ None
12	Monthly Payment: \$ None .
13	7. Do you have a bank account? Yes No _x (Do <u>not</u> include account
14	number.) Name(s) and address(es) of bank: None.
15	Present balance(s): \$ None
16	Do you own any cash? Yes No _x_ Amount:\$ None
17	Do you have any other assets? (If "yes," provide a description of each asset and its estimated
18	market value.) Yes No _x_
19	8. What are your monthly expenses?
20	Rent: \$ None Utilities: None.
21	Food: \$ None Clothing: None
22	Charge Accounts:
23	Name of Account Monthly Payment Total Owed on This Acct.
24	None None None
25	9. Do you have any other debts? (List current obligations, indicating amounts and
26	to whom they are payable. Do <u>not</u> include account numbers.) <u>None</u>
27	10. Does the complaint which you are seeking to file raise claims that have been
28	presented in other lawsuits? Yes No_x
- 1	

Please list the case name(s) and number(s) of the prior lawsuit(s), and the name of the court in which they filed. None.

I declare under the penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct and understand that false statement herein may result in the dismissal of my claims.

Date: February 22, 2008

PLAINTIFF/PATIENT/APPLICANT/PRO SE.

PROOF OF SERVICE BY MAIL

I, <u>Joseph Johnson, Jr.,</u> , declare:
I am, and was at the time of the service hereinafter mentioned, over the age of 18 years and not a party to the above-entitled cause. My (residence or business) address is
#580-1; P.O. Box 5003; Coalinga, CA 93210
,
and I am a resident of, or employed in,FRESNOCounty, California. On the date ofI served the CIVIL PATIENT''S NON-PRISONER MOTION/REQUEST/APPLICATION/
TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS.
(exact title of document(s) served)
by depositing a copy of the document(s) in the United States mail at
(location) Coalinga State Hospital (city) Coalinga
County, California in a sealed envelope, with postage fully prepaid, addressed as follows: (In the space below insert the name and mailing address of each person you are serving with these documents. If the person is a party to the action or an attorney for a party, indicate that with the address). UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 450 GOLDEN GATE AVENUE SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102
7111 1 MINOTOGO, OR 774102

At the time of mailing there was regular delivery of United States mail between the place of deposit and the place of address.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date: February 22, 2008

JOSEPH JOHNSON, JR.,

(Name of person mailing, typed or printed)